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ABSTRACT

In early childhood, most motor tasks are based on kinematic structure, as children assimilate motor culture in the way of imitation actions. Substantial development during this period also suffers from the controlling system, as a major problem is the differentiation of the effects of development on the one hand and education on the other. According to our working hypothesis, we assume that the educational process, both in primary school and pre-school age, should focus not on physical qualities but on improving the functioning of the controlling (external and internal circle) system. The aim of this study is to quantify some basic parameters for the management of spatial biomechanical characteristics in the earliest childhood. The selected test motor tasks registered regional and global accuracy assessment mutual location and the reachable area between cinematic pairs.
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INTRODUCTION

It is obvious the movement system is realized from the complex interaction among the cinematic, dynamic, different stages and the so called structures. In the early childhood most of the motor tasks are solved by using the cinematic structure because the children learn this kind of culture using the imitating actions (1-3).

Altogether with the biomechanical constants in the human body the controlling system is changing as well influenced by the evolution and the education. Certain partial researches from some authors /ex. Heizel, Thomson/ taking into consideration identical gemini and it seems the education does not play such an important role. In general the training interactions increase the motor opportunities; however those who are not trained catch up quite quickly/ within a few training sessions/ (4-8).

The practice proves the most important are the physical education methods. The biomechanics constant in the human body evolve as well.

The analysis and the literature sources indicated in the majority cases are registered and interpreted data are related to the physical qualities (9-14).

This side is quite variable and depends from several factors which are part of the routine life and could not be controlled.

According to our working hypothesis we suppose the educational process- the elementary school and preschool is oriented mainly to improve the activity of the controlling system /internal and external circle/system and just little part is devoted to the physical qualities. Due to those researches we found out the wrongly interpreted results received from the authors stating the most important part is the evolution one and not the education itself.

AIM

Obviously the basic aim of the present research was to assess the quantity of some basic Parameters for the control of the space biomechanical characteristics from earliest childhood.
METHODS
In order to assess the spice parameters we have used video computer methodology and for the preparing of the data we used mathematic formalism for the variation and correlation statistical analyses.

The selected motor tasks for test have registered the regional and the global accuracy for mutual location in the reachable area among the different kinematic pairs.

RESULTS
In Table 1 the results related to the accuracy are presented when controlling the angle parameters in the shoulder joint. As a matter of fact the accuracy increases with the age; however as a general rule the errors are oriented in the negative direction. The control related to the accuracy is better with the smaller angle relationships up to 4 years of age. For all age groups and for the smaller angle relationships the standard deviations are greater.

Table 1. Cinematic pairs /shoulder joint// frontal plane /

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>∆α45%</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>∆α90%</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>∆α45%</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Compensation district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Compensation district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously these compensating mechanisms play an important role in the controlling movement. As a matter of fact other observations prove this tendency remains for a long period of time and it is possible to be observed at some sports/ ex. Tennis/ and even with top athletes. And then the search the answer which is the methods and the means used to control the school-education process. It is interesting to notice the direction of the committed error depending from the initial posture. We are aware of the influence from the previous relations among the cinematic districts and it is proved with the transit data from greater to smaller angles. Particular interest represents the presence of compensating mechanisms in the distal districts being part of the cinematic chain.

We have assessed the global reachable zone by using a target and taking into consideration the errors in the frontal and the sagittal plane. The results are presented in Table 2 as for the 3 years old the test is not always right. /R<0,5/. In the frontal plane the notes are received thanks to a target, and in the sagittal one by using video meters in centimetres.

Table 2. Reachable area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>years</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δγ</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The improvement in the frontal plane done between 4 and 5 years of age and later one between 5 and 6 years of age is accomplished with a quality jump with some stagnation afterwards. The whole motor task supposes global moving of the Gravity Centre and those data would rather interpret the regional accuracy into the shoulder joint itself. This joint has got three levels of freedom and the future studies will assess the accuracy and the sensitivity for each level. In our case in order to unite the test, the target was placed on a height requiring to lift the arm up to 60 degrees: the height varies depending on how tall is the human body.

The accuracy in the sagittal plane indicates two important results
a) all values are negative and
6) a local minimum is observed at 5 years old. Our explanation of this anomaly /worsening of the results at the 6 years old/ is related to the psychological factors when children think to not go beyond the defined aim itself. Finally these results are not enough and we should take it into consideration during the school-educational process. Perhaps we recognize the well-known self- conservation instinct in the sport biomechanics.

CONCLUSION
According to the received results we present the following conclusions and recommendations:
1. The data analyses from the experiment have established the presence of legal importance


