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Summary


The primary objective of premilking udder preparation and teats antiseptic is to achieve an acceptable level of decontamination of teat skin. This aids in reducing the spread of microorganisms and incidence of new intramammary infections, and in minimising the number of bacteria. The aim of our studies was to investigate the influence of pre-milking teat antiseptic solutions on total bacterial contamination of teat skin. Three udder antiseptics were applied: Dermisan 0.5% (active ingredient – aminopropyl laurylamine), 0.2% solution with active ingredient iodine, and foaming solution of natural compounds (lactic acid+glycerol+allantoin). Cow teats were swabbed before and after application of udder preparations. The total bacterial contamination on cows teat skin was determined employing serial dilutions and plate count method. Microorganisms were identified under uniform conditions on McConkey (for Gram-negative bacteria), Columbia blood agar medium (for staphylococci), containing 5% sheep’s blood, Edwards (for streptococci) agars. The statistical analysis of the data was performed using descriptive statistics and independent-sample T test procedures in SPSS 13.0 for Windows. The udder applications with lactic acid and iodine had the highest probability (P<0.05) of reducing total bacterial contamination. The use of antiseptics for premilking teats preparation reduced the levels of coliforms, coagulase negative staphylococci and Streptococcus uberis but with exception of iodine, no effect was found on reducing Candida genus yeasts.
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INTRODUCTION

Milk and dairy products quality starts on the farm with the milk producer. One of the most important issues is the control of bacteria. Bacteria in raw milk comes from two main sources: organisms transported from the environment into the milking machine and mastitis organisms from within the udder (Taverna et al., 2001; Kelly et al., 2009). Teat skin is a potential reservoir of microbial diversity for milk (Monsallier et al., 2012). Consequently, up to 1×10⁸ of total amount of microor-
organisms can be found in one gramme of impurities on the surface of udder. Inadequate hygiene conditions increase the risk of penetration of microorganisms present on the udder from the tip of teats into the teat canal which could result in mammary gland inflammation (Pavičić et al., 2003). Premilking udder hygiene includes many factors, such as dryness and cleanliness of teats and udder, type of drying towel used, type and concentration of premilking sanitiser or antiseptic, and sanitiser contact time with teats (Galton et al., 1986; 1988; Nakano et al., 1995). Premilking teat preparation not only reduces environmental bacteria on the teat surface but also reduces bacteria counts in milk (Ruegg, 2003). The primary objective of premilking udder preparation and teats antiseptic is to achieve an acceptable level of decontamination of teat skin. This aids in reducing the spread of microorganisms and incidence of new intramammary infections, and in minimising the number of bacteria that find their way into the raw milk supply (Nickerson, 2001). According to El Behiry et al. (2012) bacteria of the genus Staphylococcus are one of the most common pathogens that cause mastitis worldwide. Vacheyrou et al. (2011) described the microbial community naturally present on dairy cow teat skin in link with milk and other microbial farm communities. Other researchers also confirmed that water and animal environment, teat skin (Vacheyrou et al., 2011) and udder skin can be sources of milk inoculation. 

Teat skin, even on teats that look reasonably clean, may harbour a large number of coliforms, streptococci, and other bacterial species commonly found in manure and soil. It may also include S. aureus and other species of staphylococci and streptococci associated with cracked or injured skin. All of these potentially can cause mastitis if they enter the gland. Therefore, minimising their numbers on teat skin prior to attaching the cluster is necessary for mastitis control as well as milk quality. Pre-dipping with effective products helps accomplish this (Gleeson et al., 2009). Gibson et al. (2008) showed that a pre-milking teat cleaning regime involving the washing of teats with an effective antiseptic and then drying was the most effective for removing bacteria and minimising bacterial growth.

Studies (Ingawa et al., 1992; Ruegg et al., 2003) demonstrated that it is necessary to include disinfection with highly effective agents that are active in low concentrations and do not pose a threat regarding chemical residues in the milk. Good antiseptic material must affect immediately and in wide range, and should not leave inhibitory substances in milk (Malinowski, 2004). Efficiency of antiseptic materials depends on cleanliness of washed surfaces, the microbial species, concentration and temperature of solution, exposition time and other factors.

Many producers dip teats before milking with various disinfectant products such as iodophor solution, iodine based gel, sodium hypochlorite, chlorine, chlorhexidine, lactic acid (Galton et al., 1986; Ingawa et al., 1992; Oliver et al., 1993; Gibson et al., 2008). A number of organic acids (including lactic acid) with bactericidal properties are applied as disinfectants for animal health purposes. Lactic acid may be combined with hydrogen peroxide, and this combination improves teat skin condition and minimises bacterial colonisation on the teat skin surface. Iodine is a broad-spectrum fast acting germicide that is effective against essentially all mastitis-causing bacteria. Iodine has bactericidal activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.
(Gleeson et al., 2009). It is shown that the use of disinfectants with iodine can have beneficial effects on reducing the levels of staphylococcal and streptococcal pathogens on teat skin (Gibson et al., 2008). The study concluded that most pre-milking teat cleaning treatments reduce the teat total bacterial count, but that cleaning effectiveness was influenced by the type of disinfectant and the application methods. The use of a 0.2% iodine solution before milking was also shown to reduce major pathogen intramammary infections resulting from Streptococcus uberis and Str. dysgalactiae by as much as 49% (Oliver et al., 1993).

The aim of our studies was to determine the microbial contamination of teat skin and to evaluate the effect of investigated antiseptics on microbial counts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was performed during indoor period at a farm where a herd of 220 cows was held. The cows were held loose on the latticed floors and were milked at the herringbone milking parlour.

Twenty-one cows of third lactation which were free from clinical mastitis infection (no swelling, no heat, no pain, no redness of the udder; milk of normal colour, without fibrin clots) were randomly chosen to apply the udder preparation before milking. Cows were divided into 3 groups of 7 cows in each. Each group was treated with a different pre-milking teat antiseptic. Three antiseptics were used in the following concentrations: 0.5% Dermisan (active ingredient – aminopropyl laurylamine, CAS name 2372-82-9) manufactured by Hypred (France), 0.2% solution with active ingredient iodine, and a foaming solution of natural compounds (lactic acid+glycerol+allantoin). Foaming solution with natural compounds for teats preparation prior milking is used daily on farms. The two other disinfectants are commercial and certified in Lithuania but were not usually used at this farm.

The teats of cows from the first treatment group were cleaned with solution containing 0.5% aminopropyl laurylamine, from the second treatment group with 0.2% iodine and the third group – with foaming solution of natural compounds accordingly. Investigations continued for 10 days. The samples were obtained prior evening milking. In all 21 cows a total of 210 samples were obtained before treatment and another 210 – after treatment (3 groups × 7 cows × 10 visits).

The sampling from teats before using the antiseptic was performed by triple rotary motions around the surface of the teat close to the tip from the front teat only and then the samples were placed into disposable transport Transwab® Amies (England). Exposure time of antiseptics on teats was 20 s. Then, the teats of individual cows were dried with individual paper towel. After drying, the sampling from teats was performed again. Sterile gloves were used throughout the sampling. All samples were then transported from farm to laboratory under low temperature conditions.

The total bacterial contamination of cow teat skin was determined employing serial dilutions and the plate count method proposed by Tortora (2002). The number of colony forming units (CFU) was calculated per 1 mL (CFU/mL). The CFU/mL were determined for each individual sample (a total number 420). Under aseptic conditions, the teat samples were agitated for 10 s to extract the bacteria from the swab. Then, 1 mL of the solution was taken to produce dilutions down to 10⁻³.
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and 0.2 mL of the sample was taken for spreading upon the bacteria-specific agar plates.

To identify microorganisms, samples were inoculated under uniform conditions on McConkey agar (Oxoid, England) for coliforms (*E. coli, Enterobacter aerogenes*), Columbia blood agar medium (Oxoid, England) for staphylococci containing 5% sheep blood, Edwards agar (Oxoid, England) for streptococci. The samples were incubated for 24–48 h at 37 °C under aerobic conditions. Every 24 h the grown colony’s size and colour were evaluated. Grown colonies were tested with 3% hydrogen peroxide solution. To identify *S. aureus* the latex kit, Staphytect Plus Test DR 850 (Oxoid, England) was used. Detailed identification of bacteria was performed using API test (Oxoid, England) and Entero pluri Test (Liofilchem, Italy). Sabouraud medium plates (Oxoid, England) for yeast and fungi were incubated for 5 days at 25 °C.

The statistical analysis of the data was performed using descriptive statistics and independent-sample T test procedures in SPSS 13.0 for Windows. The difference was considered to be statistically significant when P<0.05.

RESULTS

During our experiments, we investigated the change in the microbial on cows teats skin after treatment with different antiseptic solutions.

Summary of results for the total number of microorganisms on cows’ teats skin before and after antiseptic treatments is shown on Fig. 1. There was a reduction of microbial counts on teats skin after. The number of colonies on the teats skin decreased significantly (from 50.8±21.6×10³ CFU/mL to 15.2±11.2×10³ CFU/mL, or about 3.5 times (P<0.01) when foaming solution of natural compounds (lactic acid+glycerol+allantoin) was used. The antiseptic solution containing 0.2% iodine decreased the number of microorganisms almost twice (1.86×10³ CFU/mL; P<0.05).

The application of 0.5% aminopropyl laurylamine had a slightly lowering effect on teat microflora: the total bacterial con-

![Fig. 1. Microbial colony counts on the teats before and after application of teat antiseptics.](image-url)
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Fig. 2. Microbiological diversity before and after application of antiseptics. CNS=coagulase-negative staphylococci
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Contamination on cows' teats skin decreased from $48.2\pm12.6\times10^3$ CFU/mL to $35.5\pm18.5\times10^3$ CFU/mL.

In our research, the treatment with 0.5% aminopropyl laurylamine resulted in a reduction of coagulase negative staphylococci by 35%, of coliform by 20% – compared to 0.2% iodine and the foaming solution of natural compounds (lactic acid+glycerol+allantoin).

The treatment with 0.2% iodine resulted in a 25% reduction in Candida spp. (C. tropicalis – 30%, Cr. laurentii – 20%), after predipping with 0.5% laurylamine. The foaming solution of natural compounds (lactic acid+glycerol+allantoin) had no effect on Candida spp. In our study, no coliform bacteria were found after premilking applications (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

The effect of disinfection agents on hygienic milk quality and total bacterial contamination on cows' teats has been evaluated in many studies (Galton et al., 1986; Galton et al., 1988; Nickerson, 2001; Ruegg, 2003). Other researchers (Kumar et al., 2012) also show that the use of some disinfectant products prior milking can have beneficial effects on reducing the levels of total bacterial, staphylococcal and streptococcal pathogens on teat skin. However, according to the results of some accomplished tests it can be stated that even thorough cleaning, wiping an udder does not significantly reduce contamination (Lam et al., 2000).

The findings of our study after using an antiseptic with iodine (the solution with 0.2% iodine decreased almost twice the number of microorganisms) is in accordance with the results of Blowey & Collis (1992), who noticed that after application of iodine solution for teats germ-killing before milking, total bacterial contamination of the teats skin decreased by 70%.

Japanese scientists (Mitsu et al., 2003) have studied the efficacy of a non-
iodine mixed fatty and lactic acid teat and disinfectant containing iodine. However, the teat skin condition of each cow was better after the non-iodine teat dip solution was applied. That also eliminates possible iodine contamination of the milk. The germicidal activity of lactic acid is moderate and thus is often combined with other germicides to improve the bactericidal effects. In our study the number of CFU/mL on the teats skin significantly decreased when iodine and lactic acid solutions were used (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively).

Premilking using an antiseptic is most effective in the control of environmental pathogens (E. coli and environmental streptococci) and has been shown to have limited effectiveness against coagulase negative staphylococci (Ruegg & Dohoo, 1997). Our test results are similar to other researchers’ investigations. Pre-dipping has reduced new cases of mastitis caused by coliforms and environmental streptococci. According to Hemling (1998) antiseptic solutions containing iodine can reduce the quantity of staphylococci and other pathogens.

Antiseptics are not thought to be very effective against preventing new intramammary infections due to Candida spp. mastitis pathogens (Unnerstad et al., 2009). Costa et al. (2012) showed that the recommended 30 s period for the action of the pre-dipping solution to be effective was not observed, and milkers used a commercial solution based on iodine with a low concentration of the active ingredient in post-dipping.

Monsallier et al. (2012) showed that dairy cow characteristics could interact with farming practices. They offered prospects to better control teat microbial balance taking into account the milking hygiene practices, the parturition and the type of animal housing.

After using an antiseptic, coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS and Streptococcus uberis) percentages were found to decrease. Oliver et al. (1993) conducted a predipping efficacy study using 0.25% iodine and found that new mastitis infections by Gram-negative bacteria were significantly lower in quarters with both predipped and postdipped bacteria versus quarters receiving only post dip.

CONCLUSIONS

According to our study, antiseptic solutions with active substance iodine and lactic acid proved to be effective and useful to reduce the bacterial populations on the cows’ teat skin (P<0.05). The use of udder antiseptics for premilking teats preparation succeeded to reduce the levels of coagulase negative staphylococci and Streptococcus uberis but only 0.2% iodine could reduce Candida yeasts.
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